

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
)	WDTX Case No. 1:24-mj-885
v.)	MDTN Case No. 3:24-cr-217
)	
)	
PHILIP TAYLOR SOBASH)	

GOVERNMENT’S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PRETRIAL DETENTION

The United States of America, through Jaime Esparza, United States Attorney for the Western District of Texas, respectfully files this memorandum in support of pretrial detention. The Government has sought that the defendant be detained pretrial, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E), on the basis that there are no conditions or combinations of conditions that will reasonably assure Sobash’s presence in court or the safety of the community. Sobash has been indicted for offenses involving minors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 2251, 2422, and 2252A(a)(2), triggering 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E)’s presumption in favor of pretrial detention.

Under the factors outlined in § 3142(g), there is clear and convincing evidence that Sobash poses a danger to the victims and the community and that no conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of the victims, among others. There is also a preponderance of evidence that Sobash is a flight risk under the factors outlined in § 3142(g). The Government seeks the defendant’s continued detention pending trial in this matter on these grounds.

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On December 4, 2024, a federal grand jury in the Middle District of Tennessee indicted the defendant, Philip Taylor Sobash (“Sobash”), on one count of sexual exploitation of a minor, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2251(a) and (e); one count of coercing and enticing a minor to engage in

prostitution or unlawful sexual activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b); and one count of receipt of child pornography, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2252A(a)(2) and (b)(1).

Special Agents with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”) arrested Sobash at his home on December 13, 2024, on which date Sobash made his initial appearance before this Court. The Government has moved for Sobash’s detention pending trial.

II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES GOVERNING REQUESTS FOR DETENTION

A. Factors Justifying Detention

The Court must order the defendant’s detention before trial if it finds that “no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the [defendant] as required and the safety of any other person and the community.” 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(1). Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(E), where, as here, a grand jury has found probable cause that the defendant committed “an offense involving a minor victim under section 2251 . . . 2252A(a)(2) . . . [or] 2242 . . . of [Title 18],” there arises a rebuttable presumption that “no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community.” This presumption “‘shifts to the defendant only the burden of producing rebutting evidence, not the burden of persuasion.’ But, ‘the mere production of evidence does not completely rebut the presumption.’” *United States v. Flores*, 53 F.4th 313, 315 (5th Cir. 2022) (quoting *United States v. Hare*, 873 F.2d 796, 798 (5th Cir. 1989)). “In applying this burden-shifting framework, the district court should consider the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g).” *Id.*

Section 3142(g) of Title 18 provides that “in determining whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the defendant’s appearance and the safety of other persons and the community,” the Court shall consider (1) the nature and circumstances of the offenses charged; (2) the weight of the evidence of dangerousness against the person; (3) the history and

characteristics of the person; and (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release. "A threat of future dangerousness may be based on the risk that no conditions will reasonably prevent the defendant from obstructing justice." *United States v. DeGrave*, 539 F. Supp. 3d 184, 199 (D.D.C. 2021). *See also United States v. LaFontaine*, 210 F.3d 125, 134 (2d Cir. 2000); *United States v. Lamar*, 600 F. Supp. 3d 714, 722 (E.D. Ky. 2022). "The government must prove risk of flight by a preponderance of the evidence, and risk of danger to the community by clear and convincing evidence." *United States v. Vallejo*, 983 F.2d 1061 (5th Cir. 1993).

III. NO CONDITIONS WILL REASONABLY ASSURE THE SAFETY OF THE VICTIMS AND OTHERS

A. Nature and Circumstances of the Offenses

Between on or about October 11, 2018, and May 31, 2019, Sobash engaged in an online sexually explicit relationship with Minor Victim 1, who resided in the Middle District of Tennessee. This online relationship between Sobash and Minor Victim 1 began after they connected on the website Seeking Arrangement (now known as Seeking), a dating website that facilitates "sugar daddy" relationships. Although Minor Victim 1 represented that she was 18 when she signed up for the website, Seeking Arrangement did not verify the age of its users. After the communication between Minor Victim 1 and Sobash transitioned to text message, Minor Victim 1 quickly advised Sobash of her true age and later sent him a photo of her driver's license, which confirmed her minority.¹

¹ There is no limitations period for the offenses charged in this case. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3299.

During their communication, Sobash made no effort to conceal his identity and provided his name, information about his occupation, and other personal identifying information to Minor Victim 1. Minor Victim 1 also provided Sobash with her name, her address, and other information about her life and family, which corroborated her minority. Shortly after the communication with Minor Victim 1 transitioned to text message, Sobash began requesting that Minor Victim 1 produce sexually explicit images. Minor Victim 1 declined to do so unless paid, so Sobash sent her money electronically. After Minor Victim 1 sent him a nude image, Sobash sent her more money. During the more than seven-month period specified in the indictment, Sobash continued to provide money and gifts to Minor Victim 1 in exchange for sexually explicit imagery. Sobash sent payments via PayPal and Venmo and purchased gifts from various retail stores, including Urban Outfitters and Michael Kors, and had those gifts sent to Minor Victim 1's house. Beyond sending her cash and gifts in exchange for the creation of these sexually explicit images, Sobash sent Minor Victim 1 sex toys, costumes, and other items to use during the creation of these images. Although Sobash discussed traveling to the Middle District of Tennessee or flying Minor Victim 1 to his location so the two could have sex, Sobash and Minor Victim 1 never met in person.

Despite Sobash's assurances that the sexually explicit images Minor Victim 1 produced for him would not be disseminated and were solely for his personal use, Minor Victim 1 later became concerned that Sobash was distributing her images to unknown individuals after she began receiving random phone calls and social media invitations from accounts she did not recognize. When Minor Victim 1 questioned Sobash about distributing the sexually explicit images she sent to him, he denied doing so. However, after Minor Victim 1's contact with Sobash ceased, her worst fears were confirmed when a friend discovered Minor Victim 1's nude images online.

After the discovery of her nude images online, Minor Victim 1 was bombarded by phone calls and social media requests from unknown individuals who attempted to blackmail her to produce more sexually explicit images by threatening to send her nude images to her family, her friends, and her family members' coworkers if she did not comply with their demands. At one point after she began receiving these threats, her parent's coworker received a sexually explicit image of Minor Victim 1. To this day, Minor Victim 1 and her family members continue to be contacted by unknown individuals about Minor Victim 1's nude images, which remain publicly available on the internet.

The FBI began investigating Sobash in early 2024 when the FBI discovered another offender in possession of the sexually explicit images of Minor Victim 1.² The images of Minor Victim 1 were part of a larger collection of sexually explicit images and videos of about 70 young women, some of whom were minors when the sexually explicit images were created, called the "DiscreetGent" collection.

After discovering Minor Victim 1's sexually explicit images in the possession of this offender, the FBI, while acting in an undercover capacity, separately purchased Minor Victim 1's images on a clearnet (i.e., publicly accessible) website. The DiscreetGent collection of Minor Victim 1 included over 700 videos and pictures of Minor Victim 1 engaged in sexually explicit conduct. Many of these images involved Minor Victim 1 lasciviously displaying her anus or genitals or engaged in masturbation. (The images therefore met the definition of "child pornography" as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2256(8) and referred to here as "child sexual abuse

² The connection, if any, between this offender and Sobash is unknown. It is believed this offender obtained the images of Minor Victim 1 online.

material” or “CSAM.”) Minor Victim 1’s set of images, like many of the other images in the DiscreetGent collection on this site, was labeled using her first and last name. Included in the collection of Minor Victim 1’s sexually explicit images purchased by the FBI was a photo of Minor Victim 1’s driver’s license. Minor Victim 1 confirmed that the images the FBI purchased on the site were images she produced for Sobash.

The FBI identified at least four other minor victims featured in the DiscreetGent collection, who produced CSAM for Sobash and received payment in exchange for the production of the CSAM. Additional information about the four other minor victims is included below:

Minor Victim A (MV-A) was 16 when she joined Seeking Arrangement and met Sobash. From their conversations, Sobash was aware of MV-A’s true age. Sobash requested nude photos of MV-A, which she sent in exchange for money. Venmo records show payments from Sobash to MV-A in June 2020, when MV-A was 16, with attached comments like “get wet and send me more”, “more tik tok”, “for showing off to so many people”, “good girls get rewarded”, “turning me on”, “don’t stop sending me that body.” Many of MV-A’s sexually explicit images from the DiscreetGent collection contain EXIF (“Exchangeable Image File Format”) data showing they were created when MV-A was a minor. Critically for purposes of the present motion, MV-A recalls that Sobash demanded more photos and told her if she did not comply, he would release her photos to family and friends.

Minor Victim B (MV-B) joined Seeking Arrangement as a senior in high school. She began communicating with just one user on the site, a man whose name she could not remember. She sent CSAM in exchange for payments to her PayPal account. Sobash’s PayPal records show he paid MV-B \$100 in July 2017, when MV-B was 17 years old. MV-B’s sexually explicit images

are included in the DiscreetGent collection that is for sale online and have been sent by unknown persons to MV-B's family members, friends, and employer.

Minor Victim C (MV-C) joined Seeking Arrangement and met an individual who requested specific types of nude images, and when she performed as requested, the subject would send her money. Venmo records show that Sobash sent about \$400 to MV-C via Venmo when MV-C was 17 years old, in late 2020. The FBI showed MV-C sanitized versions of her sexually explicit images from the DiscreetGent collection, and she identified them as pictures of her that were taken when she was a minor. EXIF data from some of the sexually explicit images corroborate that the images were created when MV-C was 17 years old.

Minor Victim D (MV-D) met a man name "Jonathan" on a dating app but could not remember the name of the app. She told "Jonathan" she was 16 years old and recalls him responding that it was okay because she was only a year and half away from 18. "Jonathan" paid MV-D for sexually explicit images, which she produced and sent to him. Sobash's Venmo records show he paid MV-D \$130 via PayPal in September 2017, when MV-D was 17 years old. MV-D's sexually explicit images are included within the DiscreetGent collection, and EXIF data from some of those images confirm the images were created when MV-D was 17 years old.

Like Minor Victim 1, all four of the minor victims described above confirmed the CSAM they sent to Sobash ended up online; that they were contacted by unknown individuals who threatened to disseminate their images if they did not produce new sexually explicit content; that they received social media invitations from unknown individuals after sending CSAM to Sobash; some have actually had their sexually explicit images sent to friends, family members, and employers as threatened; and all continue to be harassed by unknown individuals and fearful of continued dissemination of their sexually explicit images to friends, family members, and

employers. One of the minor victims advised the FBI that Sobash himself threatened to send her nude images to her family members and friends if she did not produce more images for him.

On November 15, 2021, several years after Minor Victim 1's contact with Sobash ceased and Minor Victim 1 learned her sexually explicit images were publicly available on the internet, Minor Victim 1 entered into a civil settlement with Sobash after retaining counsel, who sent him a draft of a civil complaint related to the production of sexually explicit images of Minor Victim 1 and Sobash's unauthorized dissemination of those images. Sobash negotiated a civil settlement with Minor Victim 1 prior to the filing of the civil complaint. As part of this civil settlement, Sobash paid Minor Victim 1 \$800,000 to release any civil claims against him. Included in the Settlement Agreement with Sobash was a provision entitled "Non-Cooperation with other Claimants," which read as follows:

[Minor Victim 1] agrees that she will not cooperate with, aid, assist, or encourage in any way, any other person to pursue any legal claims, or lawsuits against Dr. Sobash. [Minor Victim 1] may cooperate only if she is contacted by law enforcement or compelled to testify under oath pursuant to a lawfully issued subpoena or other similar legal process, written notice of which [Minor Victim 1] shall provide within five (5) days of its receipt or three (3) days prior to giving any such testimony, whichever occurs first, to [Sobash's counsel], if legally permissible.

Around the same time Sobash entered into a civil settlement with Minor Victim 1, Sobash entered into civil settlements with multiple young adult women and/or minor teenage girls for similar sexually exploitative conduct. Some of the victims were represented by the same attorneys as Minor Victim 1 and some were represented by other counsel. The amount of money Sobash paid to the other victims in connection with their civil settlements is unknown.

B. Weight of the Evidence

The weight of the evidence against Sobash is overwhelming. CSAM depicting Minor Victim 1, MV-A, MV-B, MV-C, and MV-D have all been sold online as part of the same

DiscreetGent collection. Through its investigation, the FBI has found extensive evidence that “DiscreetGent” and Philip Sobash are one and the same. Adult Victim A (AV-A) recalls joining Seeking Arrangement in 2017, not long after she graduated from college. She met someone with the username “Discreet Gent,” who said he was a doctor, and who eventually requested that AV-A send him nude images of herself. AV-A agreed and sent dozens of nude images to Discreet Gent and recalled that he would send her money via Venmo. Although Discreet Gent promised that AV-A’s nude images would remain private between them, her images eventually ended up for sale online, including as part of the DiscreetGent collection that contained CSAM. Sobash’s Venmo records show that he sent money to AV-A in late 2017.³

The FBI executed search warrants on Sobash’s Google accounts and obtained (via subpoena) records from his PayPal, Venmo, CashApp, and Urban Outfitters accounts. Google records showed that Sobash’s primary Google account, PhilipSobash@gmail.com, was linked to DiscreetGentlemanGirls@gmail.com in ways that show Sobash controlled both accounts. Sobash’s primary Google account contained a saved document called “Discreet Gent_How It Works,” which details how customers can pay for Discreet Gent nude images, including via PayPal at discreetgent@protonmail.com and via CashApp at discreetgent50. Two different CashApp accounts belonging to Sobash (listing his first and last name, date of birth, and social security number) contain aliases “discreetgent50” and “discreetgent” as well as email addresses discreetgent50@gmail.com and discreetgent@protonmail.com. Sobash’s Urban Outfitters account, which lists his first and last name as well as his primary Gmail address, also lists the email address discreetgent@protonmail.com.

³ Unlike AV-A, Minor Victim 1 did not recall Sobash’s Seeking Arrangement username.

The EXIF data associated with some of the CSAM of Minor Victim 1 in the DiscreetGent collection confirm these images and videos were produced in the Middle District of Tennessee when she was under the age of 18. Further, some of the CSAM that Minor Victim 1 produced and sent to Sobash was created at a restaurant while Minor Victim 1 was working there, which is evident from the pictures. The FBI obtained Minor Victim 1's employment records from that restaurant, which is located within the Middle District of Tennessee. Those records show that Minor Victim 1 was employed at that restaurant when she was 16-17 years old.

The FBI obtained records from PayPal and Venmo that showed Sobash paid over \$5,000 to Minor Victim 1 before she turned 18. Records from Urban Outfitters and Adam & Eve confirm that Sobash purchased goods (including sex toys) and had them sent to Minor Victim 1's residence, also when she was a minor. Additionally, Minor Victim 1 was saved as a contact in Sobash's Amazon account. A family member of Minor Victim 1 told the FBI that Minor Victim 1 received an usually high number of packages during the time frame she was communicating with Sobash and described an incident involving the family dog getting into one of the packages, which contained a packing slip with Sobash's name on it.

Following the execution of a search warrant on Apple, the FBI obtained the contents of the Defendant's iCloud account, which contained CSAM of Minor Victim 1 and sexually explicit images of at least one other adult who was included in the DiscreetGent collection. In one of the CSAM videos of Minor Victim 1, she stated that she was 17 years old and seven months from her 18th birthday. Sobash's iCloud contained several references to Minor Victim 1. The iCloud content also showed that Sobash had bookmarked Minor Victim B's Instagram account and created a contact card for Minor Victim A that included her email address.

C. The Nature and Seriousness of Danger to the Victims and Others

If Sobash is not detained, there is a grave risk that he will continue to exploit young women and teenage girls, as he has done for years. There is also considerable risk that he will attempt to obstruct justice by trying to intimidate or otherwise influence victims who may testify against him, as demonstrated by the civil settlement, and its requirement that Minor Victim 1 provide notice if contacted by law enforcement. Lastly, there is a risk that Sobash will flee, which will not only thwart his prosecution but also enable him to exploit and intimidate his victims with impunity.

Sobash appears to be addicted to exploiting young women and teen girls and to derive pleasure from causing them humiliation and embarrassment by disseminating their sexually explicit images. Records from Seeking.com (formerly Seeking Arrangement) show that Sobash created his first account on the site in January 2014 and has created at least 11 accounts in total. His Gmail records indicate that his Seeking accounts were frequently suspended for abuse and that he would then create new Gmail accounts for the purpose of creating new Seeking accounts. Records from one of Sobash's Google accounts show he ran the search "can I bypass seeking arrangement ban with vpn". Because Seeking.com deletes its records after a few months, the government did not obtain messages from most of his accounts. However, records from his most recent account showed that over an approximately four-month span in 2024, he messaged over 2,700 other users. He sent the same initial message to seemingly every female user he contacted. It read:

Hi there, you are stunning! I am very busy and never seem to find great girls close to me. I am wondering your thoughts on an arrangement which consisted of texting, pictures etc. I would be happy send gifts and money as well as buy you new clothes! If this interests you let me know.

The FBI has made strides identifying and contacting the victims depicted in the DiscreetGent collection, but those victims are likely just the tip of the iceberg. Sobash's PayPal,

CashApp, and Venmo records show payments to scores of other females who are not among the 70 featured in the DiscreetGent collection. Many of these transactions date back to 2015 and have accompanying written notes that are sexually suggestive.

Every time Sobash exploits a new victim and releases her images on the internet (or sends them to others who do so), he irreparably harms that victim. Those of Sobash's victims who have been interviewed by the FBI, including his minor victims, have reported extreme emotional distress and negative personal and professional consequences. There is little reason to think that because Sobash has been criminally charged, he will be able to stop himself from engaging in the same abusive conduct that he has likely been perpetrating on a wide scale for over a decade.

Sobash's Google search history also shows that he is fixated on his victims and poses a continued threat to them. In 2021, he searched for Minor Victim 1's Instagram account, and at least as recently as 2022, he searched for her sexually explicit images. He searched for Minor Victim D and viewed news of her high school graduation the year after he exploited her. And he has searched repeatedly for many of his adult victims.

Sobash's release would also enable him to harass and intimidate his victims in an effort to dissuade them from cooperating with law enforcement. As noted above, Minor Victim A recalls being threatened directly by Sobash. Nearly all of Sobash's other victims who have been interviewed by the FBI have reported that unknown offenders have harassed and threatened them by phone, email, or social media. Sobash facilitated and encouraged this abuse even if he did not participate in it directly, as evidenced by the nature of the DiscreetGent collection. Far from merely distributing sexually explicit images, Sobash included his victims' first and last names, and in Minor Victim 1's case, posted her driver's license photo with her home address. The DiscreetGent collection also includes non-pornographic images and videos scraped from the

victims' social media accounts, no doubt to reveal more personal details about them. Sobash appears to derive pleasure not just from the sexual images he collects, but from distributing them in a way that exposes and humiliates the young women and girls depicted in them. Given the nature of Sobash's scheme, it is difficult to imagine he would hesitate to intimidate his victims directly or encourage his online followers to do so on his behalf.

If released, Sobash would also likely obstruct justice by destroying evidence. FBI agents executed a search warrant at Sobash's home in Austin on the morning of December 13, 2024. They ordered Sobash to come out of his home, at which point he exited through his back door carrying a hard drive, which he threw over his fence and into his neighbor's yard. FBI agents retrieved the hard drive and are still analyzing it, but they have already observed that it contains sexually explicit images of young females that are labeled with first and last names. If released, Sobash would surely attempt to destroy any evidence that has not already been seized by the FBI.

Sobash is also a flight risk. He likely has extensive financial resources, as evidenced by his \$800,000 settlement agreement with Minor Victim 1, which was just one of several civil settlements. Sobash also drives a 2023 Land Rover Range Rover Sport, which public sources suggest retails at no less than \$83,000; his Google records show that he once purchased an \$18,000 vintage Rolex wristwatch; and, of course, he has paid thousands to victims as part of his scheme to entice them to send him sexually explicit images, which are then sold online (likely by Sobash).

With these resources, Sobash may flee from prosecution, or, as noted above, he may attempt to influence witnesses or otherwise obstruct justice. It is reasonable to suspect that Sobash has planned to do this all along in the event his crimes drew the attention of law enforcement. That was likely Sobash's reason for negotiating a non-disclosure agreement requiring Minor Victim 1

to notify Sobash's lawyer if contacted by law enforcement or served with a subpoena related to his misconduct.

D. Sobash's Criminal History and Personal Characteristics

Sobash completed pretrial diversion in New Jersey in September of this year for conduct involving the production and dissemination of sexually explicit images of an adult woman, with whom he engaged in an online relationship. Although Sobash's conduct was reported to multiple law enforcement agencies over the years, his only criminal charges relate to his indictment in the Middle District of Tennessee and the pretrial diversion in New Jersey.

While Sobash does not have any criminal convictions, his sexual exploitation of minor teenage girls and young adult women resulted in other collateral consequences, which do not appear to have deterred him from engaging in this predatory behavior. Sobash was previously a licensed medical doctor, but voluntarily surrendered his Arkansas medical license in late 2021 while under investigation by the Arkansas State Medical Board. The Arkansas State Medical Board appears to have initiated its investigation following civil litigation arising out of Sobash's sexual exploitation of minor teenage girls and young adult women.

Although Sobash voluntarily relinquished his medical license while under investigation by the Arkansas State Medical Board, completed pretrial diversion in New Jersey, has been sued civilly and/or threatened with multiple civil suits for his sexually exploitive conduct, he remains active on Seeking.com, continuing to entice female users to send him nude imagery. It is difficult to imagine Sobash has not exploited other minors beyond the five discussed in this filing, and it is similarly difficult to imagine Sobash is not making nefarious use of whatever sexually explicit images he receives from each new victim he entices.

E. Inadequacy of Release Conditions

Whatever release conditions the defense may propose would be inadequate to protect the community and ensure Sobash's appearance in court. Sobash is a digital offender. He communicates with victims using a dating website and text messages; he entices victims by sending money electronically or by ordering them gifts online; he receives CSAM and other sexually explicit imagery electronically; and he then distributes that imagery online. All Sobash needs to continue his long-running criminal scheme is a cell phone. He could also easily use a cell phone or computer to obstruct justice by contacting victims or deleting evidence that is stored in his online accounts. Confining Sobash to his home or placing him with a third-party custodian will be insufficient, because such measures will not reasonably assure that Sobash cannot access and misuse electronic devices. Such measures will also do little to prevent Sobash from fleeing if he is determined to do so. He has considerable financial resources, faces extremely significant punishment if convicted, including a statutory maximum sentence of life imprisonment, has limited ties to the Western District of Texas,⁴ and lives mere hours from the Mexican border.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all the reasons above, as well as for any reasons which may be set forth at a hearing on this motion, the Government respectfully submits that Sobash cannot overcome the rebuttable presumption that there exists no condition or combination of conditions which would assure the

⁴ Sobash moved to the area to attend business school at the University of Texas, Austin, where he is currently enrolled in the MBA Class of 2025. The government is not aware of any other ties that Sobash has to Texas. He has previously lived and worked in Arkansas, South Carolina, and Georgia.

defendant's presence in court or the safety of any person or the community. Accordingly, the Government respectfully requests that the Court order Sobash detained pending trial.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 13th day of December 2024.

JAIME ESPARZA
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

BY: s/ Gabriel Cohen
GABRIEL COHEN
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
Western District of Texas
903 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 334
Austin, Texas 78701
Telephone: (512) 916-5858
E-mail: gabriel.cohen@usdoj.gov

THOMAS J. JAWORSKI
Acting United States Attorney for the
Middle District of Tennessee

/s/ Monica R. Morrison
MONICA R. MORRISON
Assistant United States Attorney
719 Church Street, Suite 3300
Nashville, Tennessee 37203
Telephone: (615) 736-5151
E-mail: monica.morrison@usdoj.gov

/s/ Adam Braskich
ADAM BRASKICH
Trial Attorney, U.S. Dept. of Justice
Child Exploitation & Obscenity Section
1301 New York Avenue, NW, 11th Floor
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: (202) 616-4598
E-mail: Adam.W.Braskich@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I filed this memorandum with the Clerk of Court on this 13th day of December 2024 via the CM/ECF system.

s/ Gabriel Cohen
GABRIEL COHEN
Assistant United States Attorney